CANDOUR *The British Views-Letter*

To defend national sovereignty against the menace of international finance

Vol 41, No 9 *Registered at the G.P.O. as a Newspaper* September 1989

Open Letter to Sir James Spicer, M.P.

THREATS TO ALTER BRITISH LAW ON BEHALF OF FALSE WITNESSES

by H. MARGARET CRAWFORD

IT is horrifying to read (**Daily Telegraph**, 21/7/89) that there is actually a serious possibility of changing British law in order to prosecute elderly men, not British citizens, who are alleged on doubtful evidence to have committed "war crimes" outside Britain nearly fifty years ago. It is added that the Government is to seek the views of Parliament and the public on the matter; hence this letter to you.

No wonder that Sir Thomas Hetherington has not published that part of his report which contains the alleged evidence. You yourself, I believe, were among the first to enter Belsen after the war, and at that time we all believed that the awful death-toll was deliberate. In fact, it was not, as the following witnesses testified.

Dr. Russell Barton, psychiatrist: He entered Bergen-Belsen with British military forces in 1945. He had originally believed in the idea of extermination by starvation, but changed his opinion after inspecting well-equipped kitchens and carefully-documented accounts of food cooked and dispensed since 1942. His evidence on oath stated: "Not deliberately starved. Died from a rash of diseases."

Dr. John E. Gordon, of Harvard University: He was with the U.S. forces in Germany in 1945. His statement in 1948 said: "The outbreaks in concentration camps and prisons made up the great bulk of typhus infection in Germany."

Dr. Charles Larson, forensic pathologist: He was assigned to the Judge Advocate General's Department in 1945. He performed autopsies at Dachau and at about twenty other German camps, on some days examining more than one hundred corpses. Questioned afterwards for three days by U.S. Army prosecutors, he said: "Never was a case of poison gas uncovered." In 1945 he and other Allied medical men were instructed to investigate evidence of German war crimes were all of the opinion that the chief cause of death was typhus. The awful overcrowding, starvation and typhus in these camps were not due to any Nazi extermination policy, but primarily to the massive Allied bombing which disrupted food and medical supplies, plus the flood of refugees from the Russian advance in the East.

Simon Wiesenthal, chief persecutor ["Witchfinder-General" might be more appropriate! - Editor]: This person stated in a letter to the Editor of **Books and Bookmen** in April 1975 that "there were no extermination camps on German soil."

As for the cruel accusation of gas-chambers, in addition to Dr. Larson's statement there is overwhelming evidence to disprove this, as the following witnesses testify:

Official report of the Allied Commission investigating German concentration camps after World War II, known as the Lachout-Muller Document: Issued to the Military Police Service in Vienna in October 1948, it categorically states that "no humans were killed by poison gas in Bergen-Belsen, Buchenwald, Dachau, Flossenburg, Niederhagen, Ravensbruck, Sachsenhausen, Stutthof, Theresienstadt", adding: "In these cases it can be proven that confessions were extorted by torture and the eye-witness accounts were false."

The Leuchter Reprt: This was presented by the American engineer, Fred Leuchter, at the recent trial in Canada of Ernst Zundel, who has twice been on trial for the "crime" of doubting the truth about the "Holocaust." Mr. Leuchter, described as the only man still alive dealing with homicidal gas-chambers and the only expert in the world, told the court that in his best engineering opinion not a single installation inspected by him in Auschwitz, Birkenau or Majdanek was, or could have been capable of killing massive numbers of humans.

Dr. James Roth, analytical chemist: He also gave evidence at the Zundel trial, saying that he had analysed samples of bricks and mortar taken from the alleged gas-chambers in Polish camps, i.e. Auschwitz, Birkenau and Majdanek. He had found either no trace at all, or very small traces of cyanide in the samples.

Mr. Bill Armontrout, Warden of one of America's largest maximum security prisons: As "Gasser-in-Chief" at the Missouri State Penitentiary, he would have to operate the prison gas-chamber should executions by gas be resumed there. Mr. Armontrout explained the difficult and time-consuming checks and safety-measures necessary for gas execution - massive steel doors, heavy bolts, thick glass, etc. Had the Germans used the gas-chambers they were alleged to have installed, they would have gassed themselves as well.

Thomas' Tommy-Rot Testimony?

Sir Thomas Hetherington is reported as having interviewed former concentration camp guards and other witnesses to genocide and murder in Latvia, Lithuania and the Ukraine. Considering the Lachout-Muller official report that it could be proven confessions were extorted by torture, and considering the "confession" of the unfortunate Rudolf Hoess, commandant of Auschwitz from 1940 to 1943, can we be sure that the camp guards recently interviewed by Sir Thomas Hetherington have been free from any kind of pressure?

What other witnesses has he seen? Are they to be compared with those whose "eye-witness accounts" and sworn statements were demolished during Ernst Zundel's trials, such as the testimony of Dr. Raoul Hilberg, an "authority on the Holocaust", who admitted that he had based his views on the "confession" of the S.S. officer Kurt Gerstein? Or with the testimony of Rudolf Vrba, whose account of gas-chambers is part of an exhibit at Auschwitz but who admitted that his book, **I Cannot Forgive**, was "an artistic picture" based on "what I heard it might look like"? Or with the eleven witnesses from Israel who gave sworn testimony that Frank Walus killed and maimed cripples and their

parents (during which time Mr. Walus was doing forced labour on a farm)? Or with those who swore at the trial of Adolf Eichmann that Zyklon B gas had been released downwards through imitation shower-outlets, which is chemically impossible? Or with those who recently accused the unfortunate John Demjanjuk, on the strength of a doubtful K.G.B. identity-card, of being a camp guard called "Ivan the Terrible" who was shot forty years ago? Mr. Demjanjuk was nevertheless sentenced in a Jerusalem court to hanging.

Two Memorable Witnesses

It is important to remember the statements of two memorable witnesses at Mr. Zundel's second trial in Canada last year. One was the Austrian lady, Maria Vanherwaarden, who was an inmate of Auschwitz from 1942 to 1945. When led into a shower room she thought she was going to die, but was very surprised to find that warm and cold water came out of the shower-heads. The other was a brave and honest Jew, Joseph Burg, who testified that there was no extermination policy and no gas-chambers, and that the "Final Solution" referred to deportation.

With regard to atrocity stories, an interesting letter from the British Ministry of Information, dated 29/2/1944, was quoted at Mr. Zundel's first trial in Canada: "We cannot reform the Bolsheviks but we can do our best to save...ourselves from the consequences of their acts.... Experience has shown that the best distraction is atrocity propaganda directed against the enemy.... Various charges against the Germans and Japanese...will be put into circulation by the Ministry."

Why is it only Nazis who are alleged to have committed war crimes and only Nazis against whom the witch-hunt is directed? What about the Allied death-camps on the Rhine meadows after the war? What about Harold Macmillan's forced repatriation of millions of White Russians and Yugoslavians (including women and children) into the arms of Stalin and Tito? What about the appalling treatment of German civilians by the Russians at the close of the war, about which we have hardly ever been told? What about the murder of German prisoners-of-war by American soldiers, which unfortunately did happen? And what about the U.S. mass-murder of the 560 guards at Dachau Camp on 29th April 1945? It is not British justice, is it, to hear one-sided accusations?

We are told that it is the view of the Home Secretary, Douglas Hurd, that views should be sought on whether the United Kingdom should follow the lead of the United States, Canada and Australia in prosecuting war criminals. With regard to Canada, the two recent trials of Ernst Zundel on the subject of the "Holocaust" are nothing less than an infringement of freedom of speech. If there is serious evidence that it never happ-ened, what right has anyone to try to prevent that evidence from being known? And what right has anyone to prosecute a man who says he honestly believes that such evidence does exist? It is British justice to insist on hearing both sides of the case: surely the evidence against the holocaust should be weighed just as carefully as evidence in favour of it?

So: let us remember all of the above when we are asked to twist British justice in order to torment almost certainly innocent people. <u>No laws should be changed, no one should be accused after all this time, and certainly no one should be extradited</u> - God forbid!

CUT AND THRUST

Janus offers candid comments on news from home and abroad

FOR long after the Notting Hell [sic!] Carnival riot the news broadcasts of the BBC were reporting "30 policemen injured, but none seriously," but by the following Tuesday they admitted one was stabbed in the stomach. Well, the only way a stab in the stomach could not be serious would be a cut in the stomach area but with very shallow penetration beyond the skin; and in this case the difference would be that you were bloody lucky that it wasn't serious! On the same Tuesday's radio we heard one Tory MP saying the Carnival should be banned for the future and that if these people wanted to indulge in this bit of their "culture" they should go back to the West Indies to do it. Then a Labour MP expressed his horror at this, the memorable bit from him being that this statement was "at best spoil-sport" (or was it "kill-joy"?) and "at worst racist." Of course his view was "racist", but only the same as all the good and praising things we have heard about this annual weekend of mugging, mayhem and (sometimes) murder have been "racist". The Labourite then put the frighteners on the Tory MP by saying he intended to report him to the Home Secretary!

* * * *

DOUBTS about the value of the Government's prized experiment in electronic tagging of criminals have been raised since each fitting of the device is to cost £14,500, more than seven times the bill to the taxpayer if the person were kept in prison. How much simpler (and cheaper) it would be to shove them in the stocks or hang the more serious offenders. We could then use the same piece of rope each time!

* * * *

MIKE Hall, one of the ten Welsh Rugby players who has joined the tour of South Africa, has been told to leave his flat in Cardiff. One Professor Albrow, an acolyte of the Welsh Anti-Apartheid outfit, confirmed that he had told Hall to leave because of the South African connection. This is disgraceful. Surely, Hall should not only have been turned out but his furniture and possessions thrown into the street and burned! The oh-so-principled Professor Albrow refused to comment, claiming it was a private matter. What would happen if an anti-apartheid supporter were to be evicted by white-racist landlord? Would that also be a "private matter," we wonder?

* * * *

DAME Vera Lynn has criticised the lack of appreciation shown by the young in Britain for those who died fighting in the last war and has called for more ceremonies of remembrance. To be fair to the young, they receive little or no encouragement to remember the sacrifices made by preceding generations; rather, the reverse. The only ceremony of remembrance worth performing now would be the rescuing of the land our veterans fought for from the one-world multi-cultural slum it seems destined for. Naturally, the young will not be encouraged to do that by Dame Vera or anybody else in the Establishment. It is more the case of all aboard for the Global Gulag, via European Union.

THE BBC has become embroiled in a row over an invitation to Lady Mosley, widow of Sir Oswald, to appear on **Desert Island Discs** on the eve of Yom Kippur. The Beeb moved the interview forward a week so that it is now on the second day of the Jewish New Year. Is Lady Diana supposed to become more palatable to the Jews on the second day rather than the eve? Perhaps Broadcasting House thinks she is like a cheese, becoming more mature the longer she is left!

* * * *

WE know nothing about the rights and wrongs of the Australian pilots' dispute and strike, but were amused by Prime Minister Bob Hawke's contribution to the argument. He said flying was easy, since he himself had learned to fly in seven hours while at Oxford! We suppose this means that anyone can do a surgeon's work; why, Bob Hawke has often cut his own toe-nails! As for the ease of being a Prime Minister, there are lots of us who have played "I'm the King of the Castle" when we were kids! We have little access to the Aussie media, but we bet that some one has said: "Well, Mr Hawke, if you're so smart, how about piloting the next Boeing 747 due out of Sydney, bound for Perth or somewhere?" All those passengers would be so grateful, wouldn't they?

* * * *

THE ubiquitous Archbishop Tutu was prominent in anti-apartheid demonstrations in the run-up to the South African general election. He appeared on television protesting against the use of tear gas by the police against "children." Following suit, the announcer also mentioned "children." As far as could be seen from the pictures on the screen, they were very large and well grown and would have had great difficulty in getting half fare on a bus or train! Whatever age they were, there seems to be an implied acceptance that children should be immune. Well, if you concede they can throw petrol bombs, you're saying goodbye to peace, let alone any hope of winning. Remember Ulster: not long after the troops were sent there, kids in the crowds threw stones at them, meeting with no retaliation - and promptly graduated to petrol bombs. If "the enemy" can thus hide behind women and children for a while till things really hot up, they've won. If you've not fired back when bombs were thrown by children, you've somehow lost the "right" to fire back when bombs are thrown by anyone - and you huddle behind riot shields and protective clothing and pop off a protective bullet if you feel it can be justified before a subsequent Enquiry.

* * * *

FOUR policemen in Bristol have been reprimanded for driving through the St Paul's area with a Union flag visible in the back of their van. This, it was held, was a racist act of provocation. Objections were received from local "dignitaries," such as a squirt of a Labour councillor called David John, who was reported by **The Sun** as saying the incident "could be considered a taunt" because the area has "a potential for people to see the police as an occupying force." Cllr. John's precious-minded constituents should realise that having come to this country and eagerly accepted citizenship, they cannot then reject our national flag. If they can't stand the sight of it, then they should take themselves off elsewhere. They cannot be citizens when it suits them, claiming rights here but ignoring responsibilities there. Perhaps they should book a passage for their soul-mate councillor, too!

ON the recent Radio Four **Today** programme a Western convert to Islam was interviewed who founded a new Islamic Party. Its main policy would be that no money should come into being at interest, in accordance with the Koran. The interviewer asked him if he would have any chance of political success, to which he replied that if you don't try you won't get anywhere. The interviewer persisted: wouldn't it be better to work with one of the existing parties? "Oh no," he was told, "I've written to every single M.P. and got nowhere." When asked if he would have to compromise on the usury policy, the reply came: "There's no compromise with commonsense." What a disgrace that in a once Christian country a Western man must convert to Islam to realise usury is a crime and to do something about it. The BBC then interviewed a "cradle" Muslim, who said he was quite willing to continue to work with the Labour Party - the flatulent Mr Roy Hattersley notwithstanding!

* * * *

SIR Reginald Murley, who was President of the Royal College of Surgeons between 1977 and 1980, took issue in the **Sunday Telegraph** with the whole trend of government and Health Education Authority propaganda concerning AIDS in Britain. The propaganda that AIDS occurs overwhelmingly amongst heterosexuals gravely misrepresents the situation in this country. "The recent double full-page advertisements," he said, "featuring an attractive woman with the caption: 'How will this young woman look in ten years if she has AIDS?' should have been entitled: 'Fortunately this young woman is unlikely to get AIDS unless she becomes an intravenous drug addict or allows herself to be buggered'." Or, we would add, she engages in "anti-racist" sexual exploits!

UN-CHRISTIAN AID

"REGARDING John Battle's 'Opinion' (August 6), I have a feeling that people like him should have been campaigning a long time ago (as I was) when the World Bank and IMF were being set up. Just as in a poor community the loan sharks flourish so, internationally, the same applies. Credit Unions are the only satisfactory domestic answer - barter trade may be the international one. What is quite clear to all honest economists is that most loans to the Third World have been damaging, not helpful. They have financed tyrannies and corruption and produced inflation. There is no way out consistent with national sovereignty.

"He is quite wrong in thinking our credit card boom is "on the back" of the Third World interest payments; the reason the banks have been able to write off so much of their Third World debt in their balance sheets is because of our regular interest payments keeping their balance sheets healthy. If we had not taken up the slack the financial disasters of the wasted loans to the rest of the world would have brought the western world crashing. I am quite sure that however evil the present system of blood-sucking, the mass unemployment, dereliction and despair which would follow a total collapse of world trade would do nothing to help the poor, here or anywhere else.

Mrs Leslie von Goetz, in **The Universe** (20 August, 1989)

Read SPEARHEAD. Edited by John Tyndall and published in support of the B.N.P. Sample copy 80p from P.O. Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

THE FORESIGHT INSTEAD OF THE HINDSIGHT

WITH the plethora of programmes about the origins of World War Two and the beastliness of the Germans, it is illuminating to turn back the pages of history to re-discover what our founder, A.K. Chesterton, said on the matter in January 1939 in the columns of Viscount Lymington's **The New Pioneer:**

"WAR is about to be foisted upon the world, not because two Imperialist groups are contending for financial masterdom, but because the authoritarian countries are deliberately withdrawing from that struggle and building for themselves self-contained economies.... The rebels, refusing to be owned and controlled by usury, have set up their own economic constitutions, which New York refuses to recognise, and as the iron discipline of their populations makes it impossible to overthrow the rebellion from within, the police forces are to be mobilised to overthrow it from without. Thus the next world war will appear to the masters of the modern world (i.e. international finance) as essentially a civil war - a police action to uphold the law of export capitalism and regain for the Money Power its lost domains.... Here is the crux, the real casus belli. No matter how the issue may be disguised for the hoodwinking of the public, the one unforgivable sin is German economic independence: her determination as far as possible to produce her own goods without recourse to the moneylenders; her adamantine refusal to be a nation in pawn. And where self-sufficiency is impossible, an increasing reliance upon the barter principle - the honest exchange of goods without payment of tribute one very trans-action to the international commodity rings.... Barter is only an expedient in the rebellion against the prevalent abuse of financial power, which is now world-wide."

FIFTY years and eight months on, the blitz of new books on World War II includes **The Road To War** by Richard Overy and Andrew Wheatcroft. This book-of-the-TV-series, according to the **Sunday Express** (3 September), "argues that the war was economically inevitable" [our emphasis]. Well, well! So the global horror of 1939-45 wasn't fought for Democracy, Home and Beauty, after all. And "they" aren't bothering to pretend any more - even on the television.

SUPPORT FREDDIE TRUMAN AND KEEP YORKSHIRE FOR THE YORKSHIREMEN!

Freddie Truman, a life member of Yorkshire Cricket Club, has said that he will resign if the Club allows "overseas" players to join. The YCC rule has always been that team members have to have been born and bred in the county. We urge all **Candour** readers to write and support him.

HOT OFF THE PRESS!

"THE PARTY SYSTEM AND THE CORRUPTION OF PARLIAMENT"
by Ben Greene.

A trenchant analysis of the revolution that has enabled the modern system of party politics to render meaningless our age-old principles of English law and government. Only £1, including UK postage, from CANDOUR PUBLISHING COMPANY.

OUR FREE AND BALANCED PRESS

IN the August 13th issue of the **Sunday Telegraph,** there appeared a leading article by David Lovibond which bravely attacked the whole concept of the multi-racial myth which British people have been hectored and bullied over the years into accepting. Under the heading WILL THIS BE THE DEATH OF ENGLAND? the writer declared: "The long-unquestioned dominance of multi-culturalism has sent England into hiding and forced the English people to disinvent and un-English themselves. By slow, shameful stages our country has been taken away from us, yet the habit of Englishness lingers and perhaps there is still time to find a new, unapologetic patriotism to rekindle the spirit of the English race." The potential influx of 3.25 million or more Chinese, he argued, should prompt us to ask "What sort of England will our children know?"

The debate unleashed amongst **Telegraph** readers at first sight signified a strong rejection of Mr Lovibond's views. 23 column inches of letters attacked them as "sensationalist...offensive...bigoted". Sir John Curle wrote from the lush and expansive grounds of Appletree House, near Aston-Le-Walls, Daventry, Northants, to offer his opinion that the arrival of numerous Hong Kong Chinese "would be the best possible medicine for the British economy." We dare say he'd be willing to put up a thousand or two as well. Andrew Dale, of 6 Penenden Court, Boxley Road, Penenden Heath, Maidstone, fumed that the article was "the kind of filth that belongs in a National Front leaflet," while the exotic-sounding Miss Bela Lal, a student from Gillingham, said she welcomed the "enrichment" offered by all the cultures resident in this country.

Remember: that lot stretched to 23 column inches. A mere 9 inches represented the views of those who valued their birth-right. How true were David Lovibond's words when he wrote of multi-racialism's opponents being "bullied and constrained by the law" - or so we thought till our eyes caught this note: "We received 214 letters responding to David Lovibond's article." Wait for this: "189 agreed with his sentiments, 25 [sic] did not"!!! What marvellous mugs the Editor of the **Sunday Telegraph** must take his readers to be.

LITERATURE COLLECTORS! Old issues of the pre-war Militant Christian Patriots' newspaper, **Free Press,** for sale. Up to 10 different numbers. Only £1 each or $3 to U.S. readers (please send cash), including postage, from CANDOUR RESEARCH DEPARTMENT.

MOSLEY SPEAKS TO YOU! A C90 tape of Sir Oswald Mosley's 1960s studio talks and rally speeches. Only £5 or $12 to U.S. readers (please send cash), including postage, from CANDOUR RESEARCH DEPARTMENT.

CANDOUR SUBSCRIPTION RATES

U.K. £6 OVERSEAS £8 or U.S. $15 AIRMAIL PER ANNUM

Printed and published by Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD. [Editor: Rosine de Bounevialle]